Are We There Yet: Sprint 1 Postmortem

Planning Meeting

During the planning meeting, we constructed a back log from the items listed in the SRS rubric. From there, we assigned a perceived difficulty on a scale of one to five with one being trivial.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Task | Perceived Difficulty |
| Grammar | 2 |
| Style | 2 |
| High Level Description | 3 |
| Glossary | 2 |
| Introduction | 3 |
| Acronyms | 2 |
| Appendicies | 2 |
| References | 1 |
| Stakeholders | 3 |
| Non functional Reqs | 4 |
| Functional reqs | 4 |
| Sequence diagrams | 4 |
| Use cases | 4 |

* As Michael is the only software engineer in the group, we made him the primarily responsible for the use cases and sequence diagrams
* Brian Powell was assigned the introduction, stake holders and style
* Alex and Brian Sterling were to take the lead on functional and non-functional requirements
* Concerns were expressed over the document as most of us had never written a document of this scope before
* The timeline was also brought up. Points were made to be diligent as to timelines.

Stand up logs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Date | Meeting Highlights |
| 9/4 | Sprint planning |
| 9/5 |  |
| 9/6 | No meeting |
| 9/7 |  |
| 9/8 |  |
| 9/9 |  |
| 9/10 |  |
| 9/11 |  |
| 9/12 |  |
| 9/13 | No meeting |
| 9/14 |  |
| 9/15 |  |
| 9/16 |  |
| 9/17 |  |